ISSN: 4720 - 4835
Volume 13, Number 1, 2026
International Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Management

OPTIMIZING EXTERNAL AUDITORS' REPORTING IN NIGERIA THROUGH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: CONSTRAINTS AND A STRATEGIC ADOPTION FRAMEWORK

Onowu, Joseph Uche (PhD, CNA)[1] & Oludi, Stanley Azeru (PhD)[2]
[1]Department of Accounting, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Rumuolumeni P.M.B. 5047, Port Harcourt, Nigeria, [2]Rivers State College of Health Science and Management Technology, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

[1]Email:joseph.onowu@iaue.edu.ng,
[2]stanzeeng@yahoo.com

Abstract
The quality of external auditors' reports is central to capital-market confidence, corporate governance, and stakeholder decision-making. In Nigeria, persistent concerns about audit quality manifested in audit failures, late or qualified reports, and restatements have sparked regulatory reforms and renewed interest in technological solutions. Artificial intelligence (AI) offers auditors powerful tools (machine learning, anomaly detection, natural language processing, and robotic process automation) capable of improving evidence collection, fraud risk assessment, sampling, disclosure review, and report drafting. This non-empirical paper synthesizes recent literature and policy signals on AI in auditing, diagnoses Nigeria-specific barriers to AI-enabled audit-report quality improvement, and proposes a practical, phased framework for responsible adoption by audit firms, regulators, and standards-setters. Key challenges identified include data fragmentation and access, legal and professional-ethics considerations, skills and human-capital gaps, vendor governance and model explainability, and cybersecurity. The paper concludes with governance, capacity, and regulatory recommendations designed to preserve auditor independence and professional scepticism while harnessing AI to raise audit-report quality in Nigeria.

***Keywords*: Optimizing External Auditors' Reporting, Artificial Intelligence, Constraints And A Strategic Adoption Framework**

Introduction

Reliable external auditors' reports are indispensable for capital markets, creditors, regulators, and other stakeholders who rely on audited financial statements for economic decisions. Over the last decade, concerns about audit quality have been global and Nigeria has not been exempt. High-profile audit deficiencies, regulatory interventions, and evolving reporting expectations (including sustainability and digital disclosures) have intensified pressure on auditors to deliver higher-quality, timely, and transparent audit opinions.

Concurrently, advances in artificial intelligence (AI) are reshaping professional accounting and audit practice worldwide. AI techniques allow auditors to analyze entire populations of transactions rather than limited samples, detect subtle anomalies, automate repetitive evidence-gathering tasks, and assist with natural-language review of complex disclosures. Regulators and standard-setters in several jurisdictions have signaled expectations that firms formally evaluate how AI affects audit quality and governance (Financial Reporting Council reports and industry reviews). At the same time, independent reviews have cautioned that firms are not consistently tracking AI's effects on audit quality metrics.

For Nigeria, the confluence of regulatory reform, rising investor expectations, and expanding digital data sources (banking, tax, e-invoicing and enterprise systems) creates an opening for AI to materially enhance the quality of external auditors' reports. Yet adoption is neither automatic nor risk-free: it requires deliberate governance to protect auditor independence, ensure model transparency, address privacy and cybersecurity concerns, and build the necessary human capital. This paper maps the opportunities and challenges, synthesizes recent scholarship and policy signals, and presents a practical adoption framework aimed at improving audit-report quality in Nigeria.

Statement of Problem

Despite regulatory advances and pockets of technological innovation, Nigeria's external audit environment faces recurring quality concerns with direct implications for financial stability, investor trust, and corporate governance. Specific problems include: Inadequate detection of material misstatements; traditional sample based audit techniques can miss pervasive or cleverly concealed fraud or misreporting. Delays and inconsistencies in audit reporting; timely and consistent opinions are often hindered by manual evidence-gathering and verification processes. Uneven professional scepticism and skills; variability in auditors' technical competence, particularly with complex accounting estimates and disclosures. Limited use of whole-population analytics most audit engagements still rely on sampling due to resource constraints, raising the risk of undetected anomalies. Governance shortfalls around new technologies audit firms may deploy AI tools without adequate model validation, monitoring, or transparency, increasing legal and ethical risk. These problems point to an urgent need for frameworks that enable AI use to raise the quality of external auditors' reports without compromising ethical standards, independence, or the right of audited entities to fair treatment.

Aim/Objectives of the Study

The overall aim of this study was to examine the quality of external auditors' reports in Nigeria through the aid of artificial intelligence. Specifically the study addressed the following objectives:

1. Synthesize recent literature and regulatory signals on AI in auditing that are relevant to Nigeria.
2. Identify and analyze Nigeria-specific barriers to AI adoption that affect auditors' ability to deliver high-quality reports.
3. Propose a practical adoption framework that balances technological potential with professional, legal, and ethical safeguards.

Literature Review

Conceptual Review

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the Modern Audit

Recent literature and regulatory commentary emphasize that AI can materially influence audit processes and outcomes. AI tools are used for continuous auditing, anomaly detection, invoice and contract analytics, and for augmenting auditors' professional judgment through predictive insights (CAQ; industry reports). Yet regulators in advanced jurisdictions have highlighted a worrying trend: while firms increasingly adopt AI, few are systematically measuring how these tools affect audit-quality indicators, and many lack governance frameworks specific to AI. This tension between promise and governance risk is a recurrent theme in contemporary reviews.

AI and Audit Quality in Emerging Markets

Empirical and non-empirical studies across emerging markets (including Nigeria, Jordan, and Saudi Arabia) suggest that AI improves detection of misstatements, improves efficiency, and enhances predictive audit sampling; however, the literature also warns about algorithmic bias, over-reliance on opaque vendor models, and the potential erosion of professional scepticism if human oversight is insufficient. Recent Nigerian and regional studies (2023–2025) document early pilots and auditors' perceptions that AI improves effectiveness but simultaneously exposes governance gaps that must be addressed before scaling.

Nigerian Regulatory Landscape and Reporting Expectations

Nigeria's reporting and audit environment is shaped by domestic regulators (Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria FRC, Corporate Affairs Commission, and Securities and Exchange Commission) and international standards (IFRS, ISA). The FRC's activities and guidance on reporting and governance create the institutional backdrop for any AI policy affecting audit practice. Meanwhile,

professional bodies such as the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Nigeria (ICAN) and Association of National Accountants of Nigeria (ANAN) have begun programming training events addressing AI skills for accountants, signaling growing professional engagement.

Conceptualizing AI Applications That Improve Audit Report Quality

AI can contribute to multiple stages of the audit cycle and thereby improve the ultimate auditors' report:

1. **Evidence collection and transaction testing:** Machine learning (ML) and anomaly detection on complete ledger populations identify outliers, duplicates, and suspicious patterns that sampling might miss.
2. **Risk assessment and audit planning:** Predictive models can inform risk scores for accounts and transactions, enabling more focused substantive testing.
3. **Accounting-estimate validation:** AI can help assess assumptions used in fair-value measures, impairment testing, and provisioning by benchmarking against external data.
4. **Disclosure review and natural-language analysis:** NLP can flag inconsistent or missing disclosures across filings and cross-check narrative consistency with numerical data.
5. **Automation of routine procedures:** Robotic process automation (RPA) can perform repetitive reconciliations and working-paper assembly, freeing auditors for judgment tasks.
6. **Quality control and reviewer assistance:** AI-driven checklists and pattern recognition can support engagement quality reviews and reduce human oversight errors.

Key Challenges to AI-Enhanced Audit Quality in Nigeria

1. Data Availability, Integrity and Interoperability

AI models require reliable, comprehensive datasets drawn from accounting systems, bank statements, tax records, and external sources. Nigerian firms and auditors often face fragmented recordkeeping, inconsistent accounting systems, and limited machine-readable data reducing the effectiveness of AI models. Establishing data pipelines and standard formats is therefore foundational.

2. Professional Skepticism, Judgement and Over Reliance Risks

AI should augment not replace professional scepticism. There is risk that auditors may over-trust algorithmic outputs, neglecting contextual judgment. Ensuring that auditors retain final responsibility and that AI outputs are explainable is critical to maintaining audit quality and professional ethics.

3. Skills and Human Capital Constraints

Deploying, validating, and interpreting AI outputs requires data scientists, forensic accountants, and technically skilled auditors. Nigeria's current talent pipeline is developing but remains limited; professional training and curriculum reform are necessary to close this gap.

4. Vendor Governance and Model Explainability

Many AI tools are developed by third-party vendors. Audit firms must ensure contractual rights to model inspection, data provenance, and independent validation. "Black-box" models threaten the firm's ability to justify conclusions in regulators' reviews or litigation. Regulators internationally have flagged the need for firms to track AI effectiveness rather than only usage metrics.

5. **Legal, Regulatory and Ethical Considerations**

Data protection laws, evidentiary rules, cross-border data flows, and accounting standards all intersect with AI use. Clear regulatory guidance on acceptable automated procedures, documentation standards, and liability allocation is currently sparse in many jurisdictions. In Nigeria, the interaction between professional standards (ISA), national regulation (FRC), and the data-privacy regime must be clarified for AI deployment.

6. Cybersecurity and Operational Resilience

Tax and audit data are highly sensitive. Introducing AI increases the attack surface (models, data pipelines, cloud services). Firms must invest in secure architectures, encryption, logging, and incident response plans to preserve confidentiality and the integrity of audit evidence.

Practical Framework for Responsible AI Adoption (Phased)

To operationalize AI while protecting audit quality, the paper proposes a six-pillar, phased framework tailored to Nigeria's institutional realities.

Pillar A: Strategic Prioritization and Use Case Selection (Phase 0–1)

- **Start with high-value, low-risk pilots**: e.g., automated bank reconciliation, VAT invoice analytics, and anomaly detection for accounts receivable.
- **Define clear quality metrics**: reduction in false negatives, improvement in misstatement detection rates, and reduction in reporting delays.
- **Align with client consent and professional ethics**: ensure engagement letters and client consents cover permissible AI procedures.

Pillar B: Data Governance and Technical Foundations (Phase 1–2)

- **Create secure, auditable data pipelines**: standardized formats, secure APIs, and ensuring data lineage for audit evidence.
- **Adopt interoperability standards**: encourage clients to adopt accounting system configurations that support machine extraction.
- **Implement data quality controls**: provenance checks, cleansing routines, and reconciliations to ensure AI inputs are reliable.

Pillar C: Model Governance, Validation and Explainability (Phase 1–3)

- **Model documentation**: purpose, training data, assumptions, performance metrics, and limitations must be recorded.
- **Independent validation**: third-party or internal model validators should assess model bias, robustness, and stress scenarios.
- **Explainability thresholds**: require models to produce human-interpretable explanations for high-impact outputs used in audit conclusions.

Pillar D: Professional Standards, Ethics and Human-in-the-Loop (Phase 2–ongoing)

- **Human-in-the-loop mandate**: automated outputs used for substantive conclusions must be reviewed by experienced auditors.
- **Updating firm methodology and quality manuals**: explicitly incorporate AI controls, reviewer responsibilities, and documentation requirements.
- **Ethics training**: continuous professional development on AI ethics, data privacy, and professional scepticism.

Pillar E: Capacity Building and Collaborative Ecosystem (Phase 0–ongoing)

- **Upskill auditors**: introduce data analytics, ML basics, and model governance modules in training programs.
- **University-industry partnerships**: build pipelines for data scientists with accounting domain expertise.
- **Professional body guidance**: ICAN, ANAN and FRC to publish advisory notes and model governance templates.

Pillar F: Regulatory Engagement and Transparency (Phase 1–ongoing)

- **Regulatory sandbox for audit AI**: allow controlled experimentation under FRC oversight to evaluate benefits and risks.

- **AI impact reporting**: require firms to disclose AI usage in audit reports or transparency reports, and to publish KPIs on tool effectiveness and governance.
- **Standard-setters collaboration**: coordinate with IFAC, IAASB and global peers to harmonize expectations for AI use in audits.

Implementation Roadmap (12–24 Months: high level)

1. **Months 0–3:** Governance setup—firm AI policy, pilot selection, client consents, data security baseline.
2. **Months 3–9:** Pilot deployments—bank reconciliations, invoice analytics—paired with independent validators and KPI measurement.
3. **Months 9–15:** Scale successful pilots, integrate reviewers' workflows, deliver auditor upskilling programs.
4. **Months 15–24:** Full methodological updates, external transparency report, engagement with FRC sandbox, and publication of lessons learned.

Gaps in Existing Scholarship

While existing studies recognize AI's potential benefits, few provide a comprehensive framework tailored to Nigeria's unique socio-economic and institutional context. This paper filled that gap by proposing a structured adoption model focusing on regulators, model governance, and Professional bodies. Cybersecurity, transparency and audit education.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Regulators should promote a sandbox where audit firms can test AI tools with FRC supervision and clear evidence-preservation rules.
2. Firms must adopt rigorous model governance documentation, validation, and explainability before relying on AI for reportable audit judgments.
3. Professional bodies should update guidance and CPD curricula to include AI literacy, data governance, and model oversight.
4. Audit education must evolve universities and training institutes should create joint programs blending accounting and data science.
5. Adopt standards for AI transparency in audit reporting firms should disclose AI's role in evidence gathering and the nature of human oversight (without disclosing confidential client details).
6. Strengthen cybersecurity and data-privacy compliance investment in secure architecture must be non-negotiable given the sensitivity of audit evidence.

CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence is not an automatic panacea; rather, it is a powerful set of tools that if governed responsibly can materially improve the quality of external auditors' reports in Nigeria. The gains include enhanced detection of misstatements, timely reporting, and improved efficiency. However, realizing these outcomes requires deliberate changes in data architecture, professional skills, vendor governance, regulatory engagement, and ethical safeguards. The proposed phased framework offers a practical roadmap for audit firms, regulators, and professional bodies to harness AI while preserving the bedrock principles of independence and professional scepticism. With coordinated action, Nigeria can adopt AI to strengthen audit quality and investor confidence without compromising accountability or fairness.

REFERENCES

Ekokotu, R. N. (2025). *The role of artificial intelligence (AI) on auditing practices in Nigeria* [PDF]. *International Journal of Business and Law Research*. https://www.seahipublications.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/04/IJBLR-J-27-2025.pdf

Faith, E. O., & Uche, O. J. (Ph.D, CNA). (2025). *advancing internal auditing in Nigeria through artificial intelligence: Challenges and framework for adoption*. *BW Academic Journal*. https://www.bwjournal.org/index.php/bsjournal/article/view/3142

Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria. (2024). *Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria Publications and guidance*. https://frcnigeria.gov.ng/

Financial Times. (2025, June). *Big accounting firms fail to track AI impact on audit quality, says regulator*. *Financial Times*. https://www.ft.com/content/ee5be0d2-6d7b-432b-b778-1f7e471d8145

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Nigeria. (2025). *Professional training announcement: AI and accounting* [Event notice]. ICAN Publications.

Mondaq. (2024–2025). *Papers on AI, audit, and regulatory issues* (Various authors). Retrieved from Mondaq and Industry Notes.

ResearchGate. (2024–2025). *Studies on AI and audit quality in emerging markets (Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Nigeria)* (Various authors). https://www.researchgate.net

The CAQ. (2025). *Auditors and AI in the new era of audit*. Center for Audit Quality. https://www.thecaq.org/aia-auditors-and-ai-in-the-new-era-of-audit