

CORRUPTION IN AFRICA: THE IMPACT ON POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS

Olatunbosun Sunday Emmanuel ODUSANYA¹ and Voke Charles MGBONYEBI²
Department of Public Administration, University of Delta, Agbor, Delta State, Nigeria

Email: olatunbosunodusanya@gmail.com/olatunbosunodusanya @euniversityedu.africa
Tel: +2348033932174/8082779974
ORCID ID: 0009-0008-8066-1525

ABSTRACT

Corruption is still a major problem in Africa affecting political institutions and impeding socioeconomic growth. The complex relationship between corruption and African political institutions is examined in this study with particular attention paid to how corruption erodes public trust weakens democratic institutions and threatens governance. Political corruption frequently takes the form of patronage embezzlement and bribery which skews policy decisions and makes it more difficult to allocate resources effectively. Corruption is so common that it interferes with elections undermines the independence of the judiciary and leads to inefficiencies in all branches of government. It also restricts foreign investment and makes poverty worse which feeds a vicious cycle of underdevelopment. In order to combat corruption in Africa and advance sustainable development this abstract suggests multi-level interventions such as institutional reforms increased transparency and more robust anti-corruption frameworks.

Keywords: Corruption, Africa, Political institutions

INTRODUCTION

Policymaking and a state's overall stability political institutions are essential in order to shape governance. They consist of institutions like legislatures, courts and executive branches each of which performs distinct duties that support legislative representation uphold the rule of law and preserve order (North, 1990). Both the strength and resilience of a democracy and the extent to which citizens can hold their governments accountable are significantly influenced by the structure and performance of political institutions (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012). Investigating the characteristics operations and effects of political institutions is necessary with an emphasis on how they contribute to economic growth social trust and democratic stability. In the meantime, corruption has long been a crippling and enduring problem in Africa severely influencing political institutions and obstructing socioeconomic advancement.

The misuse of authority for one's own benefit is known as corruption and it can take many different forms in African nations such as nepotism bribery embezzlement and patronage. Significant barriers to democratic consolidation and sustainable development are created by these practices which upend governance structures erode public trust and weaken the rule of law. The effectiveness credibility and independence of African political institutions—including legislatures courts and executive bodies—are frequently jeopardized by corruption. Political corruption permeates institutional practices and laws that regulate public life in many African countries, rerouting funds meant for public services and shifting policy priorities in favour of elite interests institutionalized corruption and reduces the political agency of common people.

This leads to a decline in vital services like healthcare education and infrastructure development which exacerbates social inequality and poverty cycles. Political institutions are severely impacted by corruption which erodes the integrity of elections restricts the accountability of leaders and creates an atmosphere that allows impunity to flourish. When marginalized groups voice their

complaints corrupt practices have occasionally also exacerbated political unrest and conflict. Complete reforms that improve accountability fortify legal frameworks and increase transparency are needed to combat corruption in Africa. This introduction highlights the critical need for efficient anti-corruption measures to promote democratic governance and sustainable development by laying the groundwork for understanding the complex effects of corruption on African political institutions.

Political Institutions and Democratic Stability

Political institutions offer systems for distributing power ensuring checks and balances and encouraging public participation political institutions are essential to democratic governance. For example, the division of duties among the legislative, executive and judicial branches in democratic systems—known as the separation of powers—avoids the consolidation of power which is essential to maintaining democratic values (Linz and Stepan, 1996). Political institutions that are in good working order guarantee accountability and transparency which builds public confidence and promotes citizen participation (O'Donnell, 1994). On the other hand, authoritarianism and the decline of democracy can result from easily manipulated weak institutions that are not independent of political elites (Levitsky & Ziblatt, 2018).

The stability of Nigerias democratic governance has been significantly impacted by its political institutions including its legislative, executive, judicial and electoral bodies. In a democratic system these institutions are necessary to ensure checks and balances uphold the rule of law and establish accountability. But corruption and institutional inefficiencies afflict Nigerias political institutions jeopardizing democracys stability. Political institutions are the foundation of stability in democracies. For example the legislature is in charge of drafting legislation that ensures governmental accountability and upholds democratic norms. Strong legislative bodies have been shown to temper authoritarian tendencies and act as a check on executive power (Olalekan 2021). Similarly a stable democracy depends on the judiciarys support of constitutionalism and conflict resolution (Eze 2020). Corruption in Nigerias political institutions is a major barrier to the countrys democratic stability.

Corruption undermines public trust erodes the rule of law and often results in the manipulation of institutions for private gain (Adetula and Jinadu 2018). Political analysts argue that by enabling elite capture and fostering an environment that promotes undemocratic behavior Nigerias institutional inefficiencies undermine the democratic system (Akinola 2019). Particularly important to preserving democratic stability is the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC). Election legitimacy increases public confidence in democratic processes and validates political leadership. According to research INECs independence and effectiveness are critical for peaceful elections which support a stable democracy (Iyanda 2021). By promoting institutional independence transparency and accountability measures that will lessen corruption scholars propose reforms that will fortify political institutions. A strong institutional framework that prioritizes these elements can lessen the chance of democratic backsliding (Osaghae and Suberu 2022).

Impact of Political Institutions on Economic Development

Additionally, economic development is directly impacted by political institutions. Stable environments that protect property rights uphold contracts and promote economic activity are made possible by efficient institutions (Rodrik Subramanian and Trebbi, 2004). Institutions lower economic exchange uncertainty which encourages investment and economic expansion according to North (1990). However, when bureaucratic inefficiencies and corruption take center stage institutions turn into roadblocks to progress deterring both foreign and domestic investment. The quality of political institutions is a key factor in determining economic inequality between countries. Acemoglu Johnson

and Robinson (2001) contend that inclusive institutions promote prosperity by facilitating widespread participation and innovation.

Political institutions are crucial in determining the direction of economic growth in Nigeria. The efficiency of policy implementation the rule of law and economic stability are all determined by these institutions which include the legislative executive and judicial branches as well as regulatory agencies. Unfortunately, because of problems like accountability institutional weakness and corruption their effects on economic development have been uneven. Economic policy formulation and execution are facilitated by political institutions. These institutions can promote economic growth by establishing laws that support trade investment and industrialization when they operate well. Aliyu and Lawal (2020) assert that the legislatures function in enacting economically progressive legislation like those that encourage investment and trade has been essential to enhancing Nigerias business climate. Corruption and institutional flaws are enduring problems in Nigeria. In addition to undermining policy coherence political institution corruption discourages both domestic and foreign investment.

Economic policies are disrupted and resources are misallocated when political leaders manipulate institutions for their own benefit as Ogbonna (2019) emphasizes. Sustainable growth poverty alleviation and infrastructure development are frequently hampered by this tendency. A robust and independent judiciary upholds contracts and defends property rights which promotes economic growth. However judicial flaws reduce investor confidence by fostering economic transaction insecurity. When regulatory agencies function independently they safeguard consumers and encourage competitive markets however in Nigeria political meddling can reduce their efficacy according to research by Yusuf and Adegoke (2021). Sustainable economic growth requires political stability supported by strong institutions. Political institution instability frequently results in unpredictable policies that affect industries like agriculture and oil two major pillars of Nigerias economy. Politically stable times are associated with more foreign investment and growth according to Oladimeji and Bello (2022) highlighting the significance of stable political institutions in promoting economic resilience.

The Role of Political Institutions in Building Societal Trust

Public perceptions of political institutions efficacy and legitimacy are directly related to public trust in government. According to Putnam (1993) institutions that are open responsible and responsive build social trust which stabilizes government and encourages public participation. Nonetheless public trust declines and disenchantment with the political process results when political institutions are seen as dishonest or unreachable. Rothstein and Theorell (2008) impartial and equitable institutions contribute to the development of quality of government which is associated with increased civic engagement and trust. People are more likely to follow government orders when they believe that institutions are acting in the public interest which makes this trust crucial during emergencies.

Political institutions in Nigeria are critical to fostering societal trust, which is essential for democratic stability and effective governance. Societal trust in institutions like the legislature, judiciary, and law enforcement is necessary for reducing conflicts, enhancing citizen cooperation, and ensuring compliance with government policies. However, issues such as corruption, lack of transparency, and inefficiency have historically hindered these institutions from building robust societal trust. Political institutions that operate transparently and hold officials accountable tend to inspire public trust. For example, transparency in legislative processes and fiscal accountability can reassure citizens that their interests are prioritized. According to Adetunji and Adigun (2021), the Nigerian National

Assembly's efforts to implement open budget practices have positively influenced public perception, although more consistent reforms are needed.

The judiciary plays a fundamental role in safeguarding rights and ensuring the rule of law, which builds societal trust in governance. When judicial institutions act independently, they uphold justice, fostering public confidence. Eze and Oluwole (2020) argue that strengthening judicial independence is necessary for restoring trust, as the public's perception of biased rulings has been a major obstacle to building trust. Effective and fair law enforcement builds trust between citizens and political institutions. In Nigeria, however, perceptions of corruption and abuse of power within law enforcement agencies have damaged public trust. Studies indicate that reforms within law enforcement, focused on reducing corruption and enforcing ethical practices, are essential to reversing this trend (Onyeka & Abiola, 2019). Anti-corruption institutions, such as the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC), are pivotal in building societal trust by addressing corruption, which is a major public concern. According to Ibrahim and Musa (2022), when these agencies operate independently and efficiently, they enhance trust by demonstrating that corruption will be penalized, thereby reinforcing faith in political institutions.

Inclusivity in political institutions ensures that diverse citizen groups feel represented, which builds societal trust. When citizens see themselves represented in government, they are more likely to trust institutions and participate in governance. Studies highlight that inclusive political processes, such as fair elections and representation for minority groups, are essential for strengthening societal trust in Nigeria (Adeyemi, 2021).

Institutional Reform and Modern Challenges

To address the issues that political institutions face in the modern era including political polarization populism and global economic volatility it is imperative that they undergo reform in order to improve transparency and accountability (Fukuyama, 2014). Building institutional capacity through reforms and international collaboration is crucial for sustainable development in developing nations where institutions may be especially susceptible to corruption (Sachs et al. (2004). Mossberger Tolbert and McNeal (2008) digital governance tools have also become important tools for enhancing institutional transparency and involving citizens however their implementation must be done carefully to guarantee their efficacy and inclusivity. Therefore, political institutions are the foundation of democratic governance and are essential to public trust economic stability and efficient policymaking. Although, weak or corrupt institutions have the potential to promote accountability and transparency they can also lead to economic stagnation inequality and democratic regression. According to recent research future governance outcomes will be greatly influenced by how resilient and adaptive political institutions are to current issues. Thus, institutional flaws through focused reforms technology integration and international collaboration democracy can be strengthened and global development can be made more equitable.

Institutional reform is crucial to tackling contemporary issues like insufficient service delivery economic instability corruption and insecurity in Nigeria. Improved governance increased transparency and sustainable development are the goals of these reforms which are primarily focused on political economic and social institutions. But the effectiveness of reform has been constrained by a number of issues such as ingrained corruption institutional inefficiency and political meddling. A major obstacle to institutional reform in Nigeria is corruption which erodes public confidence and obstructs efficient government. Reforms aimed at combating corruption have emphasized the bolstering of oversight agencies like the Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC) and the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC). But according to studies political meddling and uneven enforcement have made these reforms less successful (Adeola and Ogundipe 2022). Stronger sanctions and independent institutions are necessary for effective reforms that

tackle corruption from all angles. A more stable diversified economy that is less reliant on oil requires economic institutional reforms.

The goal of policies that focus on deregulation financial transparency and better infrastructure is to make the market more competitive. To achieve economic resilience for example the Central Bank of Nigeria is working to stabilize the currency and lower inflation. But issues like currency volatility policy inconsistency and inflation still impede economic growth (Oluwole & Alabi 2021). Establishing the rule of law which supports democratic governance and economic stability requires judicial reform. With varying degrees of success, the judiciary has been undergoing continuous reforms aimed at enhancing its capacity promoting judicial independence and combating corruption. Public trust is still being eroded by perceived bias in court rulings and court proceedings that are delayed claim Nwosu and Aliyu (2020). To rebuild trust in Nigerias legal system it is imperative to address systemic inefficiencies and strengthen judicial independence. Serious security issues that Nigeria faces include banditry insurgency and intercommunal strife.

The goal of security sector reforms is to increase law enforcement agencies accountability coordination and training. Modernizing the military and police has been a crucial endeavor but problems like low funding subpar training and corruption in the security apparatus still hinder reforms progress. According to Okeke and Bala (2019) reforming the security sector will not have much of an impact if professional standards and transparency are not prioritized. The goal of public service reform is to improve service delivery throughout government sectors and address inefficiencies. The Integrated Payroll and Personnel Information System (IPPIS) which was introduced as part of efforts to digitize procedures and encourage transparency has helped to improve public sector efficiency and decrease the number of ghost workers. Notwithstanding advancements obstacles still exist such as bureaucratic opposition and a lack of accountability in the provision of services (Ojo & Adebayo 2022).

Corruption

One of the biggest obstacles to African political stability and progress is still corruption. Numerous studies have looked at its widespread impacts on governance public trust economic growth and political institutions. The impact of corruption on African political institutions is summarized in this review which focuses on issues of democratic governance institutional integrity economic repercussions and anti-corruption tactics. Most academics concur that corruption erodes accountability erodes the rule of law and concentrates power among elites all of which threaten democratic governance in Africa (Hope, 2017). Corruption often undermines political institutions like legislatures and courts which are meant to support democratic values enabling leaders to hold onto power without the support of the general populace. The public loses their right to vote as a result of this state capture since the interests of powerful political elites take precedence over the opinions of the general populace (Kaufmann and Vicente, 2011). Bribery vote-buying and electoral commission manipulation undermine electoral integrity and corruption affects electoral processes often in fragile democracies (van de Walle, 2007).

Corruption continues to be one of the biggest barriers to democratic governance economic expansion and sustainable development in Nigeria. Every aspect of society is impacted by this widespread problem which erodes social justice warps economic policies and erodes public confidence in political institutions. The problem of corruption endures because of ingrained systemic problems even with the implementation of numerous anti-corruption agencies and reforms. Since colonial times when resource extraction was frequently given precedence over accountability and governance corruption in Nigeria has had historical roots. Power abuse became ingrained in the

culture as a result of this colonial legacy. According to Ogbeidi (2019) a cycle of corruption has persisted in the post-independence era as a result of post-colonial governments inheriting and in certain situations continuing these exploitative practices. Particularly harmful is political corruption in Nigeria which redirects funds intended for development into public officials' personal wealth accumulation.

Common practices that undermine democracy and trust include vote-buying embezzlement of public funds and abuse of power by high-ranking officials. According to Adigun (2020) political corruption makes it harder to pay for necessities like infrastructure healthcare and education which in turn contributes to poverty. The effects of corruption on investment and economic growth are profound. Nigeria is frequently ranked among the most corrupt nations by Transparency International's Corruption Perception Index which discourages both foreign and domestic investors. Akinwale and Ayodele (2021) assert that corruption increases business expenses and fosters inefficiencies that impede economic competitiveness and productivity. Through the inflating of project costs and the promotion of tax evasion corruption hinders economic advancement and sustains poverty. The Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offenses Commission (ICPC) and the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) are two of Nigerias anti-corruption organizations.

Due to political meddling a lack of funding and a lack of independence these agencies ability to look into and prosecute corruption cases has fluctuated. Aluko and Fashola (2022) point out that anti-corruption initiatives are frequently weakened in the absence of adequate autonomy and support resulting in high-profile cases going unpunished. Social cohesiveness is undermined and public confidence in government institutions is weakened by corruption. People lose faith in the governments capacity to fairly serve the people when they observe or encounter the detrimental effects of corruption such as bribery in the medical and educational fields. Studies by Eze and Nwabueze (2020) highlight how widespread cynicism brought about by the normalization of bribery and favoritism deters civic engagement and fosters disinterest in government.

Impact of Corruption on Institutional Integrity

Due to high levels of political corruption which compromises their integrity and ability to function African political institutions frequently struggle to maintain their autonomy. The ability to enforce the law and maintain justice is jeopardized when corruption gets into important institutions like the police or judiciary. This results in selective law enforcement that benefits influential people and organizations (Chêne, 2010). Because political institutions are corrupt political actors can abuse their positions of authority with little repercussion (Mbaku, 2019). Rothstein and Torsello (2014) these kinds of settings deter public trust and civic engagement because people believe the system is biased against their interests.

Economic Consequences of Institutional Corruption

Economic development is negatively impacted by corruption in African political institutions which exacerbates poverty and increases inequality. Rose-Ackerman (2010) corrupt officials frequently transfer public funds intended for healthcare education and infrastructure into private hands depriving communities of necessary services and sustaining underdevelopment. The economy is further hampered by resource misallocation which raises the price of public projects and deters foreign investment. Corruption makes the business environment unpredictable which discourages potential investors who think that countries with corrupt institutions pose greater risks (Mauro 1995). In turn the continuation of economic instability brought on by corruption weakens long-term economic resilience by extending reliance on foreign assistance (Collier, 2007).

Corruption, Erosion of Public Trust and Citizen Disillusionment

Public trust and citizen disillusionment are severely damaged by corruption in political institutions. Research shows that citizens are less likely to trust government institutions and leaders when they observe widespread abuses of power by political elites for their own benefit (Hoffmann and Patel 2017). As people come to perceive government actions as self-serving rather than focused on the common good this disillusionment can result in a decline in civic engagement and a weakened sense of national identity. Branch and Mampilly (2015) such sentiment has been connected to a rise in political indifference as well as demonstrations and uprisings in certain African nations where the populace demands accountability and change.

Anti-Corruption Strategies and Institutional Reform

Studies pertaining to anti-corruption initiatives emphasize the significance of robust independent establishments that can enforce responsibility and openness. Stronger oversight bodies whistleblower protections and strict financial disclosure laws are just a few of the comprehensive anti-corruption measures that have been promoted by international organizations like Transparency International and African regional organizations like the African Union (Boehm 2015). Yet research indicates that these reforms frequently encounter difficulties in being implemented because of opposition from influential political figures and a lack of funding for enforcement (Andrews 2013). Studies have indicated that civil society movements and international advocacy are gradually bringing about the cultural changes necessary for effective reform in political institutions to prioritize ethical governance and transparency (Goredema 2011).

Case Studies: Successes and Challenges in Anti-Corruption

Case studies from African countries like Nigeria shed light on the ongoing difficulties as well as the achievements in the fight against institutional corruption. Due to strong anti-corruption agencies and a political culture that values honesty and openness Botswana for instance has been praised as having had some success in reducing corruption (Good 2010). However, despite large investments in anti-corruption organizations and laws nations like Nigeria and Kenya still face high levels of corruption (Smith 2007). These incidents highlight the necessity of context-specific strategies that take into account the distinct sociopolitical dynamics of every country and the significance of political will in bringing about successful reforms.

The scope effects and tenacity of corrupt activities in Nigerias public administration politics and oil sector are all demonstrated by case studies of corruption in the country. The issues that anti-corruption agencies face are exposed by these case studies which focus on particular incidents that have influenced economic and social development and public perceptions of corruption. One of the most notable instances of corruption involving foreign organizations in Nigeria is the Halliburton bribery scandal. In this instance Halliburton an American oilfield services company was charged with bribing Nigerian officials in order to obtain profitable contracts in the liquefied natural gas sector from 1994 to 2004. According to investigations Nigerian officials received bribes totaling about \$180 million in order to sway contract decisions. Aluko (2019) points out that while the scandal revealed the depth of corruption in the Nigerian oil industry and resulted in fines for Halliburton the Nigerian officials implicated received little repercussions underscoring the difficulties in holding influential people to account.

The Dasukigate scandal which was named for former National Security Adviser Sambo Dasuki concerned the purported theft of \$21 billion intended for the purchase of military hardware to combat Boko Haram. Political campaigns were allegedly financed with money that had been transferred to personal accounts. Dasukis 2015 arrest brought to light the misappropriation of public

funds meant for national security and several prominent politicians were implicated in the investigations that followed. Dasukigate seriously damaged Nigerias counterinsurgency efforts and illustrated how political corruption can jeopardize national security claim Adeyemi and Obasa (2020). The Nigerian pension fund scam in which billions of naira were embezzled from pension funds meant for retired civil servants is another noteworthy case. Prominent pension office officials including John Yusuf were charged with embezzlement. The public was incensed when Yusuf in particular was found guilty of embezzling more than N2 billion but was given a comparatively light sentence. This case demonstrated Nigerias judicial systems shortcomings as prominent corruption cases frequently carry light sentences eroding public confidence in anti-corruption initiatives claim Eke and Babalola (2021).

The Niger Delta Development Commission (NDDC) which was created to address the Niger Deltas developmental issues has been involved in corruption cases where money intended for social services and infrastructure has been embezzled. 2020 investigations found that NDDC officials had embezzled N81.5 billion for personal expenses including extravagant personal spending and unreported contract funds. The NDDC controversy according to Ojo and Musa (2021) is a prime example of how corruption in government organizations denies communities access to necessary services and encourages underdevelopment. Another instance of widespread corruption in Nigeria is the fuel subsidy scam in which petroleum marketers and government officials allegedly overstated subsidy claims costing the country more than \$6 billion between 2009 and 2011. According to investigations government officials and oil marketers engaged in fraudulent activities such as fabricating import documents to claim subsidies for fuel that was never delivered. According to Igbokwe and Lawal (2022) the fuel subsidy scandal highlights how susceptible Nigerias subsidy system is to corruption which can result in economic distortions and increase public mistrust of government transparency.

CONCLUSION

Corruption is still one of the biggest problems threatening political institutions in Africa impacting social welfare economic stability and governance. This systemic problem erodes public trust undermines the rule of law and weakens the foundations of democratic institutions which fosters an environment where political actors may put their own interests ahead of the general welfare. Corruptions effects are pervasive it leads to poor public service delivery economic mismanagement and compromised policymaking all of which worsen poverty and inequality in African countries. The impact of corruption on political institutions is cyclical according to studies: corrupt practices are encouraged by weak institutions which are then further destabilized by the prevalence of corruption leading to governance that frequently fails to serve the people. Since electoral manipulation favoritism and the misappropriation of public funds foster a climate of disenfranchisement and political indifference among citizens the continued existence of corruption erodes the legitimacy of democratic processes.

Thus, it may be necessary for African nations to enact significant context-sensitive reforms which calls for the active support of the international community civil society and citizens as well as the dedication of African governments. A more transparent and accountable future that supports social well-being and sustainable development can be achieved by African countries through enhancing governance fortifying political institutions and enforcing the law. To reduce the negative effects of corruption it is crucial to strengthen civil society engagement develop international cooperation and increase the capabilities of judicial and regulatory institutions. For Africa to fortify its political institutions rebuild public confidence and promote sustainable development effective anti-corruption initiatives and a revitalized dedication to moral governance are essential. Addressing corruption is

essential for maintaining institutional integrity and is also a key component of making significant social and economic advancements throughout the continent.

RECOMMENDATIONS

African governments ought to impose stringent accountability and transparency standards at all governmental levels such as requiring public reporting of budgetary allotments project results and government expenditures. Building public trust and lowering the likelihood of misappropriation are two benefits of implementing electronic tracking systems for public funds. To effectively combat corruption, the judiciary must be strengthened. Courts will be able to prosecute corruption cases impartially if there is sufficient funding independence from political influence and merit-based judicial appointments.

Additionally, anti-corruption agencies and bodies ought to have the authority to act on their own initiative and be held responsible for their actions. Educating people about their rights in a democracy and the negative consequences of corruption can help to create an accountable culture. Programs for civic education run by governments with assistance from non-governmental organizations and international organizations should empower citizens to demand openness and hold public servants responsible for unethical behavior. Because of fear of reprisals many corruption cases go unreported. Strong whistleblower protection laws that are put into place and upheld along with anonymous reporting tools will inspire more people to come forward with information about corrupt activities without worrying about the consequences.

More so, in order to keep an eye on and uncover corruption civil society organizations and independent media are essential. African governments ought to permit these organizations to function unhindered by censorship or intimidation since they offer crucial oversight and have the ability to inspire public action against unethical behavior. Providing funding and training to investigative journalists can also enhance their coverage of corruption. Reducing face-to-face interactions between citizens and government representatives, the use of digital governance tools such as online government service portals digital tax payments and e-procurement systems can drastically lower the likelihood of corruption. Digital tools can lower administrative barriers increase service efficiency and enable real-time tracking of government operations. Money laundering and other illegal financial flows are two examples of the cross-border activities that corruption frequently entails. In order to adopt and enforce international anti-corruption standards facilitate asset recovery and crack down on tax havens that enable the transfer of stolen assets African countries should coordinate more closely with international organizations such as the Financial Action Task Force the African Union and the United Nations. Educating public servants at all levels from local to federal on ethical governance and integrity can contribute to the development of an accountable culture. Integrity-focused leadership development programs and required ethics courses will strengthen the value of accountability and honesty among public servants. For anti-corruption agencies to effectively investigate and prosecute corruption cases they require adequate funding qualified staff and complete operational independence. Governments ought to make certain that these organizations receive sufficient funding are shielded from political meddling by the law and are regularly reported to the public. Giving people the opportunity to actively engage in decision-making procedures such as public consultations and participatory budgeting we can improve accountability and lessen corruption. Through citizen engagement the public can monitor the distribution of resources and develop a sense of control over governmental choices.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, M. (2013). *The Limits of Institutional Reform in Development: Changing Rules for Realistic Solutions*. Cambridge University Press.
- Boehm, F. (2015). Fighting Corruption in Africa: The African Union Convention and the Role of Civil Society. *Global Corruption Report*.
- Branch, A., & Mampilly, Z. C. (2015). *Africa Uprising: Popular Protest and Political Change*. Zed Books Ltd.
- Chêne, M. (2010). Overview of Corruption in Africa. *Transparency International*.
- Collier, P. (2007). *The Bottom Billion: Why the Poorest Countries are Failing and What Can Be Done About It*. Oxford University Press.
- Goredema, C. (2011). *Anti-Corruption in Africa: Supporting African States in Effective Anti-Corruption Measures*. Institute for Security Studies.
- Good, K. (2010). The Role of Government and Civil Society in Promoting Good Governance and Anti-Corruption in Botswana. *Journal of African Elections*, 9(2), 122-138.
- Hoffmann, L., & Patel, R. N. (2017). Collective Action on Corruption in Nigeria: A Social Norms Approach to Connecting Society and Institutions. *Developmental Leadership Program*.
- Hope, K. R. (2017). Corruption and Governance in Africa: Swaziland in Comparative Perspective. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 11(8), 215-230.
- Kaufmann, D., & Vicente, P. C. (2011). Legal Corruption. *Economics & Politics*, 23(2), 195-219.
- Mauro, P. (1995). Corruption and Growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110(3), 681-712.
- Mbaku, J. M. (2019). Corruption in Africa: Causes, Consequences, and Cleanups. *Current History*, 118(808), 181-187.
- Rothstein, B., & Torsello, D. (2014). *Corruption and the Quality of Government: Insights from Africa and Beyond*. University of Chicago Press.
- Rose-Ackerman, S. (2010). *Corruption and Government: Causes, Consequences, and Reform*. Cambridge University Press.
- Smith, D. J. (2007). *A Culture of Corruption: Everyday Deception and Popular Discontent in Nigeria*. Princeton University Press.
- van de Walle, N. (2007). *African Economies and the Politics of Permanent Crisis, 1979–1999*. Cambridge University Press.
- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty*. Crown Business.

- Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., & Robinson, J. A. (2001). The Colonial Origins of Comparative Development: An Empirical Investigation. *American Economic Review*, 91(5), 1369–1401.
- Fukuyama, F. (2014). *Political Order and Political Decay: From the Industrial Revolution to the Globalization of Democracy*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Linz, J. J., & Stepan, A. (1996). *Problems of Democratic Transition and Consolidation: Southern Europe, South America, and PostCommunist Europe*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Mossberger, K., Tolbert, C. J., & McNeal, R. S. (2008). *Digital Citizenship: The Internet, Society, and Participation*. MIT Press.
- North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press.
- O'Donnell, G. (1994). Delegative Democracy. *Journal of Democracy*, 5(1), 5569.
- Putnam, R. D. (1993). *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*. Princeton University Press.
- Rodrik, D., Subramanian, A., & Trebbi, F. (2004). Institutions Rule: The Primacy of Institutions over Geography and Integration in Economic Development. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 9(2), 131165.
- Rothstein, B., & Teorell, J. (2008). What Is Quality of Government? A Theory of Impartial Government Institutions. *Governance*, 21(2), 165–190.
- Sachs, J. D., McArthur, J. W., SchmidtTraub, G., et al. (2004). Ending Africa's Poverty Trap. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 2004(1), 117–240.
- Levitsky, S., & Ziblatt, D. (2018). *How Democracies Die*. Crown